SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of June 1, 2023. The following 42 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2022).

These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 42 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.**

For more detail on the proration methodology or background data, please see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 42 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.**

#	JURISDICTION
1	AMERICAN CANYON
2	ATHERTON
3	BELL
4	BELLFLOWER
5	BEVERLY HILLS
6	BUENA PARK
7	CALISTOGA
8	CARPINTERIA
9	CORTE MADERA
10	FOUNTAIN VALLEY
11	GUADALUPE
12	HEALDSBURG
13	HILLSBOROUGH
14	INDUSTRY
15	LA HABRA
16	LA QUINTA
17	LAGUNA NIGUEL
18	LARKSPUR
19	LOS ALTOS HILLS
20	MARIN COUNTY
21	MENDOCINO COUNTY
22	MENLO PARK
23	MILL VALLEY
24	MONTE SERENO
25	MORGAN HILL
26	NEWPORT BEACH
27	NORWALK
28	PLUMAS COUNTY
29	ROHNERT PARK
30	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
31	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
32	SAN MARINO
33	SANTA ANA
34	SANTA CLARA COUNTY

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 42 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.**

#	JURISDICTION
35	SEBASTOPOL
36	SONOMA
37	SONOMA COUNTY
38	UKIAH
39	VILLA PARK
40	WEST HOLLYWOOD
41	WESTMINSTER
42	WOODSIDE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2022) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Above-Moderate RHNA are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 10% affordability or above or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines. Jurisdictions with insufficient progress toward Lower RHNA (Very Low and Low) are subject to SB 35 streamlining for developments with 50% affordability or above.

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
1	ADELANTO
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY
3	ALISO VIEJO
4	ALPINE COUNTY
5	ALTURAS
6	AMADOR
7	AMADOR COUNTY
8	ANGELS CAMP
9	APPLE VALLEY
10	ARCATA
11	ARROYO GRANDE
12	ARVIN
13	AUBURN
14	AVALON
15	AVENAL
16	AZUSA
17	BAKERSFIELD
18	BANNING
19	BARSTOW
20	BEAUMONT
21	BELVEDERE
22	BENICIA
23	BIGGS
24	BISHOP
25	BLUE LAKE
26	BLYTHE
27	BRAWLEY
28	BURBANK
29	BUTTE COUNTY
30	CALAVERAS COUNTY
31	CALEXICO

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
32	CALIFORNIA CITY
33	CALIPATRIA
34	CARSON
35	CERES
36	CHOWCHILLA
37	CITRUS HEIGHTS
38	CLAYTON
39	CLEARLAKE
40	CLOVERDALE
41	COACHELLA
42	COLMA
43	COLTON
44	COLUSA
45	COLUSA COUNTY
46	CONCORD
47	CORCORAN
48	CORNING
49	COSTA MESA
50	CRESCENT CITY
51	CUDAHY
52	DEL NORTE COUNTY
53	DEL REY OAKS
54	DELANO
55	DESERT HOT SPRINGS
56	DIAMOND BAR
57	DORRIS
58	DOS PALOS
59	DUNSMUIR
60	EAST PALO ALTO
61	EL CAJON
62	EL CENTRO
63	EL MONTE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
64	ESCALON
65	ESCONDIDO
66	ETNA
67	EUREKA
68	EXETER
69	FAIRFAX
70	FARMERSVILLE
71	FERNDALE
72	FILLMORE
73	FIREBAUGH
74	FORT JONES
75	FORTUNA
76	FRESNO COUNTY
77	GLENN COUNTY
78	GONZALES
79	GRASS VALLEY
80	GREENFIELD
81	GRIDLEY
82	GUSTINE
83	HALF MOON BAY
84	HANFORD
85	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
86	HESPERIA
87	HIGHLAND
88	HOLTVILLE
89	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
90	HUNTINGTON BEACH
91	HUNTINGTON PARK
92	HURON
93	IMPERIAL
94	IMPERIAL COUNTY
95	INGLEWOOD

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
96	INYO COUNTY
97	IRWINDALE
98	ISLETON
99	KERMAN
100	KERN COUNTY
101	KINGS COUNTY
102	KINGSBURG
103	LA HABRA HEIGHTS
104	LA MIRADA
105	LA PUENTE
106	LAKE COUNTY
107	LAKE ELSINORE
108	LAKEPORT
109	LAKEWOOD
110	LANCASTER
111	LAWNDALE
112	LEMON GROVE
113	LEMOORE
114	LINCOLN
115	LINDSAY
116	LIVINGSTON
117	LOMA LINDA
118	LOMPOC
119	LOOMIS
120	LOS ANGELES COUNTY
121	LYNWOOD
122	MADERA
123	MADERA COUNTY
124	MARICOPA
125	MARTINEZ
126	MARYSVILLE
127	MAYWOOD

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
128	MCFARLAND
129	MENDOTA
130	MERCED COUNTY
131	MODESTO
132	MONTAGUE
133	MONTEBELLO
134	MONTEREY
135	MONTEREY PARK
136	MORENO VALLEY
137	MORRO BAY
138	MOUNT SHASTA
139	NATIONAL CITY
140	NEEDLES
141	NEVADA CITY
142	NEVADA COUNTY
143	NEWMAN
144	NORCO
145	OCEANSIDE
146	OJAI
147	ORANGE COVE
148	ORLAND
149	OROVILLE
150	OXNARD
151	PACIFICA
152	PALMDALE
153	PARLIER
154	PASO ROBLES
155	PATTERSON
156	PERRIS
157	PICO RIVERA
158	PINOLE
159	PLACERVILLE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
160	PLEASANT HILL
161	POMONA
162	PORTERVILLE
163	PORTOLA
164	POWAY
165	RANCHO CORDOVA
166	RED BLUFF
167	REDLANDS
168	REDONDO BEACH
169	REEDLEY
170	RIALTO
171	RICHMOND
172	RIDGECREST
173	RIO DELL
174	RIPON
175	RIVERBANK
176	RIVERSIDE
177	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
178	ROSS
179	SACRAMENTO
180	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
181	SALINAS
182	SAN BERNARDINO
183	SAN BRUNO
184	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
185	SAN DIMAS
186	SAN FERNANDO
187	SAN GABRIEL
188	SAN JACINTO
189	SAN JOAQUIN
190	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
191	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
192	SAN LEANDRO
193	SAN PABLO
194	SAN RAFAEL
195	SAND CITY
196	SANGER
197	SANTA BARBARA
198	SANTA CLARITA
199	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
200	SANTA FE SPRINGS
201	SANTA MARIA
202	SANTA PAULA
203	SANTEE
204	SAUSALITO
205	SEASIDE
206	SELMA
207	SHASTA COUNTY
208	SHASTA LAKE
209	SIERRA COUNTY
210	SIGNAL HILL
211	SISKIYOU COUNTY
212	SOLANA BEACH
213	SONORA
214	SOUTH GATE
215	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE
216	STANISLAUS COUNTY
217	STOCKTON
218	SUISUN CITY
219	SUTTER COUNTY
220	TAFT
221	TEHACHAPI
222	TEHAMA
223	TEHAMA COUNTY

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 251 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA OR Above Moderate and Lower Income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability or the 20 percent moderate income option if the site is located in the San Francisco Bay Area as defined in Section 102(x) of the SB 35 Guidelines.

#	JURISDICTION
224	TORRANCE
225	TULARE COUNTY
226	TULELAKE
227	TUOLUMNE COUNTY
228	TURLOCK
229	TWENTYNINE PALMS
230	UNION CITY
231	VALLEJO
232	VENTURA COUNTY
233	VICTORVILLE
234	VISALIA
235	WATERFORD
236	WEED
237	WEST SACRAMENTO
238	WESTLAKE VILLAGE
239	WESTMORLAND
240	WHEATLAND
241	WILDOMAR
242	WILLIAMS
243	WILLITS
244	WILLOWS
245	WINDSOR
246	WOODLAKE
247	YOLO COUNTY
248	YREKA
249	YUBA CITY
250	YUCAIPA
251	YUCCA VALLEY

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
1	AGOURA HILLS
2	ALAMEDA
3	ALBANY
4	ALHAMBRA
5	ANAHEIM
6	ANDERSON
7	ANTIOCH
8	ARCADIA
9	ARTESIA
10	ATASCADERO
11	ATWATER
12	BALDWIN PARK
13	BELL GARDENS
14	BELMONT
15	BERKELEY
16	BIG BEAR LAKE
17	BRADBURY
18	BREA
19	BRENTWOOD
20	BRISBANE
21	BUELLTON
22	BURLINGAME
23	CALABASAS
24	CALIMESA
25	CAMARILLO
26	CAMPBELL
27	CANYON LAKE
28	CAPITOLA
29	CARLSBAD
30	CARMEL
31	CATHEDRAL
32	CERRITOS
33	CHICO

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
34	CHINO
35	CHINO HILLS
36	CHULA VISTA
37	CLAREMONT
38	CLOVIS
39	COALINGA
40	COLFAX
41	COMMERCE
42	COMPTON
43	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
44	CORONA
45	CORONADO
46	COTATI
47	COVINA
48	CULVER CITY
49	CUPERTINO
50	CYPRESS
51	DALY CITY
52	DANA POINT
53	DANVILLE
54	DAVIS
55	DEL MAR
56	DINUBA
57	DIXON
58	DOWNEY
59	DUARTE
60	DUBLIN
61	EASTVALE
62	EL CERRITO
63	EL DORADO COUNTY
64	EL SEGUNDO
65	ELK GROVE
66	EMERYVILLE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
67	ENCINITAS
68	FAIRFIELD
69	FOLSOM
70	FONTANA
71	FORT BRAGG
72	FOSTER CITY
73	FOWLER
74	FREMONT
75	FRESNO
76	FULLERTON
77	GALT
78	GARDEN GROVE
79	GARDENA
80	GILROY
81	GLENDALE
82	GLENDORA
83	GOLETA
84	GRAND TERRACE
85	GROVER BEACH
86	HAWTHORNE
87	HAYWARD
88	HEMET
89	HERCULES
90	HERMOSA BEACH
91	HIDDEN HILLS
92	HOLLISTER
93	HUGHSON
94	IMPERIAL BEACH
95	INDIAN WELLS
96	INDIO
97	IONE
98	IRVINE
99	JACKSON

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
100	JURUPA VALLEY
101	KING CITY
102	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
103	LA MESA
104	LA PALMA
105	LA VERNE
106	LAFAYETTE
107	LAGUNA BEACH
108	LAGUNA HILLS
109	LAGUNA WOODS
110	LAKE FOREST
111	LASSEN COUNTY
112	LATHROP
113	LIVE OAK
114	LIVERMORE
115	LODI
116	LOMITA
117	LONG BEACH
118	LOS ALAMITOS
119	LOS ALTOS
120	LOS ANGELES
121	LOS BANOS
122	LOS GATOS
123	LOYALTON
124	MALIBU
125	MAMMOTH LAKES
126	MANHATTAN BEACH
127	MANTECA
128	MARINA
129	MARIPOSA COUNTY
130	MENIFEE
131	MERCED
132	MILLBRAE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
133	MILPITAS
134	MISSION VIEJO
135	MODOC COUNTY
136	MONO COUNTY
137	MONROVIA
138	MONTCLAIR
139	MONTEREY COUNTY
140	MOORPARK
141	MORAGA
142	MOUNTAIN VIEW
143	MURRIETA
144	NAPA
145	NAPA COUNTY
146	NEWARK
147	NOVATO
148	OAKDALE
149	OAKLAND
150	OAKLEY
151	ONTARIO
152	ORANGE
153	ORANGE COUNTY
154	ORINDA
155	PACIFIC GROVE
156	PALM DESERT
157	PALM SPRINGS
158	PALO ALTO
159	PALOS VERDES ESTATES
160	PARADISE
161	PARAMOUNT
162	PASADENA
163	PETALUMA
164	PIEDMONT
165	PISMO BEACH

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
166	PITTSBURG
167	PLACENTIA
168	PLACER COUNTY
169	PLEASANTON
170	PLYMOUTH
171	POINT ARENA
172	PORT HUENEME
173	PORTOLA VALLEY
174	RANCHO CUCAMONGA
175	RANCHO MIRAGE
176	RANCHO PALOS VERDES
177	RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA
178	REDDING
179	REDWOOD CITY
180	RIO VISTA
181	ROCKLIN
182	ROLLING HILLS
183	ROSEMEAD
184	ROSEVILLE
185	SAINT HELENA
186	SAN ANSELMO
187	SAN BENITO COUNTY
188	SAN CARLOS
189	SAN CLEMENTE
190	SAN DIEGO
191	SAN FRANCISCO
192	SAN JOSE
193	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO
194	SAN LUIS OBISPO
195	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
196	SAN MARCOS
197	SAN MATEO
198	SAN MATEO COUNTY

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
199	SAN RAMON
200	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
201	SANTA CLARA
202	SANTA CRUZ
203	SANTA MONICA
204	SANTA ROSA
205	SARATOGA
206	SCOTTS VALLEY
207	SEAL BEACH
208	SHAFTER
209	SIERRA MADRE
210	SIMI VALLEY
211	SOLANO COUNTY
212	SOLEDAD
213	SOLVANG
214	SOUTH EL MONTE
215	SOUTH PASADENA
216	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
217	STANTON
218	SUNNYVALE
219	SUSANVILLE
220	SUTTER CREEK
221	TEMECULA
222	TEMPLE CITY
223	THOUSAND OAKS
224	TIBURON
225	TRACY
226	TRINIDAD
227	TRINITY COUNTY
228	TRUCKEE
229	TULARE
230	TUSTIN
231	UPLAND

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

These 246 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

#	JURISDICTION
232	VACAVILLE
233	VENTURA
234	VERNON
235	VISTA
236	WALNUT
237	WALNUT CREEK
238	WASCO
239	WATSONVILLE
240	WEST COVINA
241	WHITTIER
242	WINTERS
243	WOODLAND
244	YORBA LINDA
245	YOUNTVILLE
246	YUBA COUNTY